arXiv:1605.01548v2 [math.GR] 14 Jun 2016

THE MAGNUS PROPERTY FOR DIRECT PRODUCTS

BENJAMIN KLOPSCH AND BENNO KUCKUCK

Abstract. A group G is said to have the Magnus property if the following holds: whenever two elements x, y have the same normal closure, then x is conjugate to y or to y^{-1}. We prove: Let p be an odd prime, and let G, H be residually finite-p groups with the Magnus property. Then the direct product $G \times H$ has the Magnus property.

By considering suitable crystallographic groups, we give an explicit example of finitely generated, torsion-free, residually finite groups G, H with the Magnus property such that the direct product $G \times H$ does not have the Magnus property.

1. Introduction

A group G is said to satisfy the Magnus property if the following holds: whenever $x, y \in G$ have the same normal closure $\langle x \rangle^G = \langle y \rangle^G$ then x is conjugate to y or to y^{-1} in G. A classical theorem of Magnus [7] shows that free groups possess the Magnus property. More recently, Bogopolski [1], Bogopolski and Sviridov [2] and Feldkamp [4] established or disproved the Magnus property for certain one-relator groups, using group-theoretic techniques. In particular, the fundamental groups of closed surfaces possess the Magnus property. For orientable surface groups of genus at least 2 and non-orientable surface groups of genus at least 4, this result can also be obtained as a consequence of the fact that the Magnus property holds in any elementary free group, that is in any group having the same first-order theory as non-abelian free groups.

For a more systematic study of the Magnus property it is of interest to find out under what conditions the property is preserved under standard group constructions, such as free or direct products. In [2] it is suggested that the Magnus property is unconditionally preserved under direct products. At a rather basic level, finite cyclic groups show that this is in fact not the case; see Corollary 2.2. Nevertheless, with comparatively little effort we establish the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let p be an odd prime, and let G, H be residually finite-p groups. If G and H have the Magnus property then the direct product $G \times H$ has the Magnus property.*

Denoting by $\mathrm{Dr}_{i \in I} G_i = \{(g_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} G_i \mid g_i = 1 \text{ for almost all } i\}$ the direct product of a family of groups $(G_i)_{i \in I}$, we record as a sample the following consequence.

Corollary 1.2. *Any direct product $\mathrm{Dr}_{i \in I} F_i$ of free groups has the Magnus property.*

Unsuccessful attempts to extend the above result to torsion-free groups led us to the discovery of an instructive 'counterexample', illustrating that Theorem 1.1 is in some

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20E45; Secondary 20E26, 20H15.

Key words and phrases. Magnus property, direct products, torsion-free groups, crystallographic groups, Hantzsche-Wendt group.

sense already close to optimal. First we establish that the well-known group

$$G = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^2x = y^{-2}, y^{-1}x^2y = x^{-2} \rangle \cong (C_2 \times C_2).\mathbb{Z}^3$$

has the Magnus property; see Proposition 3.1. This group is sometimes called the Passman fours group or the Hantzsche-Wendt group; it is a centreless torsion-free 3-dimensional crystallographic group with holonomy group $C_2 \times C_2$.

The group G allows for various generalisations; e.g., see [6, 5]. We establish that a natural variant $H \cong (C_3 \times C_3).\mathbb{Z}^8$, a centreless torsion-free 8-dimensional crystallographic group with holonomy group $C_3 \times C_3$, also has the Magnus property; see Proposition 3.2. Finally, in Proposition 3.3, we establish that $G \times H$ fails to have the Magnus property. This leads to the following consequence.

Proposition 1.3. *The Magnus property is not preserved under direct products within the class of finitely generated, torsion-free, residually finite groups.*

2. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

The number of generators of a finite cyclic group C_n of order n is equal to $\varphi(n)$, where φ denotes the Euler function. Therefore C_n has the Magnus property if and only if $\varphi(n) \leq 2$. This yields the following proposition, and the concrete example $C_{12} \cong C_4 \times C_3$ gives rise to an interesting corollary.

Proposition 2.1. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cyclic group C_n has the Magnus property if and only if $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$.*

Corollary 2.2. *The Magnus property is not preserved under direct products.*

In the next section we will show that even within the class of finitely generated, torsion-free, residually finite groups the Magnus property is not preserved under direct products. Though this will require somewhat more effort, our construction will be guided by the failure of the elementary example above.

Now we concentrate on establishing conditions which ensure that the Magnus property holds for a direct product.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G and H be groups with the Magnus property. Then $G \times H$ has the Magnus property if and only if, for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$, one of the following holds:*

(i) *g is conjugate to g^{-1} in G;*
(ii) *h is conjugate to h^{-1} in H;*
(iii) *$\langle (g, h) \rangle^{G \times H} \neq \langle (g, h^{-1}) \rangle^{G \times H}$.*

Proof. First suppose that $G \times H$ has the Magnus property, and let $(g, h) \in G \times H$ be such that (iii) fails, i.e., $\langle (g, h) \rangle^{G \times H} = \langle (g, h^{-1}) \rangle^{G \times H}$. Then (g, h) is conjugate to (g, h^{-1}) or to (g^{-1}, h) in $G \times H$. Consequently, at least one of (i), (ii) holds.

Conversely, suppose that $G \times H$ does not have the Magnus property. Hence there are elements $(g, h), (g', h') \in G \times H$ with $\langle (g, h) \rangle^{G \times H} = \langle (g', h') \rangle^{G \times H}$, but which are not conjugate to each other or their respective inverses. Projecting onto the first factor, we see that $\langle g \rangle^G = \langle g' \rangle^G$. Since G has the Magnus property, we may conjugate (g', h') by an element of $G \times 1$ and pass to the inverse, if necessary, to reduce to the case that $g' = g$. Projecting onto the second factor and using the Magnus property for H in a

similar way, we may assume that $(g', h') = (g, h^{-1})$. Then (g, h) is not conjugate to (g, h^{-1}) or (g^{-1}, h) in $G \times H$. Consequently, (i), (ii) and (iii) fail simultaneously. □

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G = \langle x, y \mid x^m = y^n = [x, y] = 1\rangle \cong C_m \times C_n$ be the direct product of finite cyclic groups of orders m, n. Then $\langle xy\rangle = \langle xy^{-1}\rangle$ if and only if $\gcd(m, n) \in \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. Finite abelian groups are direct products of their Sylow p-subgroups. Hence it suffices to prove the result for powers $m = p^k$ and $n = p^l$ of a prime p. For $\gcd(m, n) \in \{1, 2\}$, we can now conclude $x = x^{-1}$ or $y = y^{-1}$, and hence $\langle xy\rangle = \langle xy^{-1}\rangle$. Finally, suppose that $p \geq 3$ and $k, l \geq 1$, or respectively $p = 2$ and $k, l \geq 2$. Projecting onto $C_p \times C_p$, respectively $C_4 \times C_4$, we see that $\langle xy\rangle \neq \langle xy^{-1}\rangle$. □

Proposition 2.5. *Let G and H be groups with the Magnus property. Then $G \times H$ has the Magnus property if, for all $(g, h) \in G \times H$ such that g is not conjugate to g^{-1} in G and h is not conjugate to h^{-1} in H, there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with*

(a) $\gcd(m, n) \notin \{1, 2\}$;
(b) *the cyclic group $\langle g\rangle^G / \langle [g, x] \mid x \in G\rangle^G$ projects onto C_m;*
(c) *the cyclic group $\langle h\rangle^H / \langle [h, y] \mid y \in H\rangle^H$ projects onto C_n.*

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of Lemmata 2.3 and 2.4. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a residually finite-p group, and $x \in G \setminus \{1\}$. Then there exists a finite p-group quotient $\overline{G} = G/N$ such that $\overline{x} = xN$ is non-trivial and central in $\overline{G}$.*

Proof. Since G is residually finite-p, we may assume that G is a finite p-group. Let $\gamma_k(G)$ be the smallest term in the lower central series of G (i.e., k maximal) such that $x \in \gamma_k(G)$, and take $N = \gamma_{k+1}(G)$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since G and H are residually finite-p groups, Lemma 2.6 implies that for any $(g, h) \in G \times H$ conditions (a), (b) and (c) in Proposition 2.5 are satisfied for $m = n = p$. Thus $G \times H$ has the Magnus property. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Magnus' classical result [7] on the Magnus property for free groups and an induction based on Theorem 1.1 show that any direct product of finitely many free groups has the Magnus property.

In an arbitrary direct product $G = \mathrm{Dr}_{i \in I} F_i$ any two elements $x, y \in G$ are contained in some normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$ which is a direct product of a finite subset of the factors. If x and y have the same normal closure, then, by the case for finitely many factors, x is conjugate to y or y^{-1}, even within N. □

3. Crystallographic groups

3.1. First we consider the group

$$G = \langle x, y \mid x^{-1}y^2x = y^{-2}, y^{-1}x^2y = x^{-2}\rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

Setting $z = xy$, one can easily check that the relations

$$x^{-1}z^2x = z^{-2} \qquad z^{-1}x^2z = x^{-2}$$
$$y^{-1}z^2y = z^{-2} \qquad z^{-1}y^2z = y^{-2}$$

hold, so there are automorphisms sending x and y to any two out of x, y and z.

Furthermore, the subgroup $A = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2 \rangle \leq G$ is free abelian of rank 3, with the indicated basis, has index $|G : A| = 4$ and $G/A \cong C_2 \times C_2$. We note in passing that $G/[G,G] \cong C_4 \times C_4$ and

$$[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy = x^{-1}y^{-1}z^2y^{-1}x^{-1} = x^{-2}y^2z^2.$$

All this is consistent with the faithful matrix representation of G in $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Q})$ given by

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad Y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For instance, one calculates easily,

$$X^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Y^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (XY)^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [X,Y] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

In the second part of the current section we explain how G can be regarded as a member G_2 of an infinte sequence of groups G_p, one for each prime p.

Proposition 3.1. *The group G defined in* (3.1) *has the Magnus property.*

Proof. We fix $g, h \in G$ with $\langle g \rangle^G = \langle h \rangle^G$ and show that h is conjugate to g or to g^{-1}. In some of our calculations it helps to think of $A = \langle x^2 \rangle \times \langle y^2 \rangle \times \langle z^2 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ as a direct sum of three 1-dimensional modules for $\langle \overline{x}, \overline{y} \rangle \cong C_2 \times C_2$; compare the construction of the more general family of groups G_p given below. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $g \in A$. In this case $g = x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta}z^{2\gamma}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. If (α, β, γ) has at most one non-zero coordinate, $\beta = \gamma = 0$ say, then $\langle h \rangle^G = \langle g \rangle^G = \langle x^{2\alpha} \rangle$ implies $h \in \{x^{2\alpha}, x^{-2\alpha}\} = \{g, g^{-1}\}$.

Now suppose that (α, β, γ) has precisely two non-zero coordinates, $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$ and $\gamma = 0$ say. Then the relations $[g, x] = y^{-4\beta}$ and $[g, y] = x^{-4\alpha}$ show that

$$\langle h \rangle^G = \langle g \rangle^G = \langle x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta}, x^{4\alpha}, y^{4\beta} \rangle.$$

This implies $h \in \{x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta}, x^{2\alpha}y^{-2\beta}, x^{-2\alpha}y^{2\beta}, x^{-2\alpha}y^{-2\beta}\} = \{g, g^x, g^y, g^{-1}\}$.

Finally, suppose that all coordinates of (α, β, γ) are non-zero. Then the relations

$$[g, x] = y^{-4\beta}z^{-4\gamma}, \qquad [g, y] = x^{-4\alpha}z^{-4\gamma}, \qquad g^2 = x^{4\alpha}y^{4\beta}z^{4\gamma}$$

show that

$$\langle h \rangle^G = \langle g \rangle^G = \langle x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta}z^{2\gamma}, x^{4\alpha}, y^{4\beta}, z^{4\gamma} \rangle.$$

Consequently, h is of the form $x^{\pm 2\alpha}y^{\pm 2\beta}z^{\pm 2\gamma}$, and $h \in \{g^{\pm 1}, (g^{\pm 1})^x, (g^{\pm 1})^y, (g^{\pm 1})^{xy}\}$.

Case 2: $g \notin A$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ acts transitively on the non-trivial cosets of A, we may assume without loss of generality that $g \in xA$, that is $g = x.x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta}z^{2\gamma}$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\langle h \rangle A = \langle g \rangle A$ implies $h = x.x^{2\alpha'}y^{2\beta'}z^{2\gamma'}$ for $\alpha', \beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}$.

First consider the quotient $Q = G/\langle y^2, z^2 \rangle \cong D_\infty$, an infinite dihedral group. Indeed, denoting the images of $x, y, \ldots$ in Q by $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \ldots$, we observe that $Q = \langle \tilde{y} \rangle \ltimes \langle \tilde{x} \rangle$, where $\tilde{y}^2 = 1$, $\tilde{x}$ has infinite order and $\tilde{x}^{\tilde{y}} = \tilde{x}^{-1}$. Note that $\langle \tilde{h} \rangle^Q = \langle \tilde{g} \rangle^Q = \langle \tilde{x}^{1+2\alpha} \rangle$ implies $1 + 2\alpha' = \pm(1 + 2\alpha)$, hence $\alpha' = \alpha$ or $\alpha' = -1 - \alpha$. Replacing h by h^{-1}, if necessary, we may suppose that $\alpha' = \alpha$.

Conjugating g by $a = y^{2\lambda}z^{2\mu} \in A$, we obtain

$$g^a = g(a^{-1})^g a = x.\big(x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta}z^{2\gamma} \cdot (y^{-2\lambda}z^{-2\mu})^x \cdot y^{2\lambda}z^{2\mu}\big) = x.(x^{2\alpha}y^{2\beta+4\lambda}z^{2\gamma+4\mu});$$

we may therefore assume that $\beta, \gamma \in \{0, 1\}$ and, likewise, $\beta', \gamma' \in \{0, 1\}$.

Suppose that $(\beta, \gamma) \neq (\beta', \gamma')$. The cyclic group $\langle g \rangle^G [G, G]/[G, G] \cong C_4$ contains

$$g^2 \equiv (x^{1+2(\alpha+\gamma)} y^{2(\beta+\gamma)})^2 \equiv x^2 \quad \text{and} \quad h^{-1} g \equiv x^{2(\gamma-\gamma')} y^{2(\beta-\beta'+\gamma-\gamma')} \quad \text{modulo } [G, G].$$

This implies that $\beta \neq \beta'$ and $\gamma \neq \gamma'$. Swapping g and h, if necessary, we deduce that

$$g = x.x^{2\alpha},\ h = x.(x^{2\alpha} y^2 z^2) \qquad \text{or} \qquad g = x.(x^{2\alpha} z^2),\ h = x.(x^{2\alpha} y^2),$$

and a short calculation shows that $g^y = h^{-1}$. For instance, in the first case

$$g^y = x^{-1} y^2 z^2 x^{-2\alpha} = \big(x.(x^{2\alpha} y^2 z^2)\big)^{-1} = h^{-1}. \qquad \square$$

3.2. The group defined in (3.1) allows for various generalisations; e.g., see [6, 5]. We are interested in one such variant, a family of centreless torsion-free groups G_p with $G_p \cong (C_p \times C_p).\mathbb{Z}^{p^2-1}$, where p denotes an arbitrary prime. In the end, we will need $H = G_3 \cong (C_3 \times C_3).\mathbb{Z}^8$, which we introduce in advance by the following presentation:

$$\begin{aligned} H = \langle u, v, e_\infty, \hat{e}_\infty, e_0, \hat{e}_0, e_1, \hat{e}_1, e_2, \hat{e}_2 \mid \\ & [a, b] \quad \text{for } a, b \in \{e_\infty, \hat{e}_\infty, e_0, \hat{e}_0, e_1, \hat{e}_1, e_2, \hat{e}_2\}, \\ & a^z = c_{a,z} \quad \text{for } a \in \{e_\infty, \hat{e}_\infty, e_0, \hat{e}_0, e_1, \hat{e}_1, e_2, \hat{e}_2\}, z \in \{u, v\}, \\ & u^3 = e_\infty^{-2} \hat{e}_\infty^{-1}, \quad v^3 = e_0^2 \hat{e}_0, \quad [u, v] = e_\infty e_0 e_1 e_2 \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where the words $c_{a,z}$ are given as in the following table:

	e_∞	$\hat{e}_\infty$	e_0	$\hat{e}_0$	e_1	$\hat{e}_1$	e_2	$\hat{e}_2$
u	e_∞	$\hat{e}_\infty$	$\hat{e}_0$	$e_0^{-1}\hat{e}_0^{-1}$	$\hat{e}_1$	$e_1^{-1}\hat{e}_1^{-1}$	$\hat{e}_2$	$e_2^{-1}\hat{e}_2^{-1}$
v	$\hat{e}_\infty$	$e_\infty^{-1}\hat{e}_\infty^{-1}$	e_0	$\hat{e}_0$	$\hat{e}_1$	$e_1^{-1}\hat{e}_1^{-1}$	$e_2^{-1}\hat{e}_2^{-1}$	e_2

By the first set of relations, $B = \langle e_\infty, \hat{e}_\infty, e_0, \hat{e}_0, e_1, \hat{e}_1, e_2, \hat{e}_2 \rangle$ is an abelian subgroup of H. The second set of relations shows that $B \trianglelefteq H$, and from the third set of relations, we see that $H/B = \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle \cong C_3 \times C_3$.

In order to motivate the presentation (3.2) and to justify further structural properties of H, we now construct, more generally, the group $G = G_p$ for an arbitrary prime p. Consider an elementary abelian group $\langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle \cong C_p \times C_p$, and fix a complex primitive pth root of unity ζ, satisfying the equation $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \zeta^i = 0$. We use the ring of integers $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\zeta + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}\zeta^{p-2}$ to describe explicitly a collection of $(p-1)$-dimensional indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}\langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle$-lattices, where the action of $\langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle$ factors through a group of order p; cf. [3, (34.31)]. Concretely, we consider $p+1$ such lattices $M_\infty, M_0, M_1, \ldots, M_{p-1}$, each modeled on $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ with the actions of $\overline{u}$ and $\overline{v}$ specified by the columns of the following table.

	M_∞	M_0	M_1	M_2	$\cdots$	M_{p-1}
	action on $M_i = \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ via multiplication by					
$\overline{u}$	1	ζ	ζ	ζ	$\cdots$	ζ
$\overline{v}$	ζ	1	ζ	ζ^2	$\cdots$	ζ^{p-1}

Here the index set $I = \{\infty\} \cup \{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq p-1\}$ parameterises naturally the various kernels of the actions of $\langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle$ and can be thought of as the projective line over $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. We build G as a non-split extension $\langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle.B$, where $B = B_p = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \trianglelefteq G$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{p^2-1}$ as an additive group. For $i \in I$, we denote by e_i the generator 1

of M_i. In the special case $p = 3$, the additional generators $\hat{e}_i$ in the presentation (3.2) correspond to the elements $\zeta \in M_i$, for $i \in \{\infty, 0, 1, 2\}$, and the relations of the form $a^z = c_{a,z}$ express the action of u, v on B via $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$. Using the module-based notation and writing $\pi = \zeta - 1$, the general definition of G is completed by specifying for the generators u, v and e_i, $i \in I$, the additional relations:

$$u^p = p\pi^{-1}e_\infty, \qquad v^p = -p\pi^{-1}e_0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad [u, v] = \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} e_i.$$

For concreteness, it is readily verified that these relations and the actions described above hold for the following matrices, thus yielding a faithful matrix representation of G in $\mathrm{GL}_{p+2}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta))$:

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/\pi & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & \zeta & & & \\ & & & \zeta & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \zeta \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1/\pi & -1/\pi & \cdots & -1/\pi \\ & \zeta & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \zeta & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & \zeta^{p-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$E_\infty = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \ldots, \quad E_{p-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easily checked that G is centreless and torsion-free. Furthermore, B is the unique self-centralising finite-index subgroup, and so, in particular, characteristic in G.

Subject to the usual conventions $\infty + 1 = \infty$, $\infty^{-1} = 0$ etc., the operations $i \mapsto i + 1$ and $i \mapsto 1/i$ modulo p induce bijections $I \to I$. Representing the elements of B as linear combinations $\sum_{i\in I} b_i(\zeta)\, e_i$, with polynomials $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ for $i \in I$, we can specify two automorphisms $\sigma, \tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &u\sigma = u, \quad v\sigma = uv, \quad && \textstyle\left(\sum_{i\in I} b_i(\zeta)\, e_i\right)\sigma = \sum_{i\in I} b_{i+1}(\zeta)\, e_i, \\ &u\tau = v, \quad v\tau = u, \quad && \textstyle\left(\sum_{i\in I} b_i(\zeta)\, e_i\right)\tau = -b_0(\zeta)\, e_\infty - b_\infty(\zeta)\, e_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} b_{1/i}(\zeta^i)\, e_i. \end{aligned}$$

The induced automorphisms on $G/B = \langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle \cong C_p \times C_p$ correspond to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(2, p)$. In particular, $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ permutes transitively the non-trivial cosets gB and operates 2-transitively on $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$.

We remark in passing that, for $p > 2$, the group $G = G_p$ is not 2-generated and thus not isomorphic to the universal group $K(p)$ studied in [5]. Indeed, for $p > 2$ we observe that $G/[G, G] \cong C_p^{p+2}$, because

$$[G, G] = \left\{ \textstyle\sum_{i\in I} b_i(\zeta)\, e_i \in B \mid b_\infty(1) = b_0(1) = \ldots = b_{p-1}(1) \right\}.$$

Recall that G_2 has the Magnus property by Proposition 3.1. In contrast, for $p > 3$ the group $G = G_p$ does not have the Magnus property. Indeed, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ the normal closure of $\alpha e_0 \in M_0 \subseteq G$ in G is simply the $\mathbb{Z}\langle \overline{u}, \overline{v} \rangle$-submodule of M_0 generated by αe_0. For $p > 3$, the group of units $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^\times$ has torsion-free rank $(p - 3)/2 \geq 1$ by Dirichlet's theorem, so there are infinitely many elements εe_0, $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]^\times$, with normal closure $\langle \varepsilon e_0 \rangle^G = M_0$. However, each element of B has at most p^2 conjugates in G, so these cannot all be conjugate or inverse-conjugate to one another.

Therefore we restrict our focus to the case $p = 3$ and consider the group $H = G_3$. As customary, we denote by ω, in place of ζ, a complex primitive third root of unity. The

ring of Eisenstein integers $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ has the finite group of units $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]^\times = \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}$. We continue to write $\pi = \omega - 1$ and note that now $\pi^2\mathbb{Z}[\omega] = 3\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

Proposition 3.2. *The group H defined in* (3.2) *has the Magnus property.*

Proof. It is convenient to use the module-based notation for $H = G_3$, explained above. We fix $g \in H$ and show that any $h \in H$ with $\langle g\rangle^H = \langle h\rangle^H$ is conjugate to g or to g^{-1}. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $g \in B$. In this case $g = \alpha e_\infty + \beta e_0 + \gamma e_1 + \delta e_2$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. The normal closure $\langle g\rangle^H$ is equal to the $\mathbb{Z}\langle\overline{u}, \overline{v}\rangle$-submodule of $B = \bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i$ generated by g. For simplicity, we drop the e_i from the notation and write $g = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$. For any $i \in I$, multiplication by any non-zero element of $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ yields an injective $\mathbb{Z}\langle\overline{u}, \overline{v}\rangle$-module endomorphism of M_i. 'Scaling' each coordinate, we may thus suppose without loss of generality that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{0, 1\}$.

If $g = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ there is nothing to show. Suppose next that g has precisely one non-zero coordinate, $g = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ say. Clearly, the $\mathbb{Z}\langle\overline{u}, \overline{v}\rangle$-module generated by g is equal to the outer direct sum $\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}$. The generators of this module are of the form $(\varepsilon, 0, 0, 0)$ with $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}$. Clearly, each of these is equal to $\pm\overline{v}^j(1, 0, 0, 0)$, that is $(g^{\pm 1})^{v^j}$, for suitable $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Now suppose that g has precisely two non-zero coordinates, $g = (1, 1, 0, 0)$ say. Then the $\mathbb{Z}\langle\overline{u}, \overline{v}\rangle$-module generated by g is equal to

$$\{(0, 0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0, 0), (2, 2, 0, 0)\} + \big(\pi\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus \pi\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}\big).$$

The generators of this module are of the form $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, 0, 0)$ or $(-\varepsilon_1, -\varepsilon_2, 0, 0)$ with $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$. Clearly, each of these is equal to $\pm\overline{u}^i\overline{v}^j(1, 1, 0, 0)$, that is $(g^{\pm 1})^{u^i v^j}$, for suitable $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Now suppose that g has precisely three non-zero coordinates, $g = (1, 1, 1, 0)$ say. Then the $\mathbb{Z}\langle\overline{u}, \overline{v}\rangle$-module generated by g is equal to

$$\mathbb{Z}(1, 1, 1, 0) + \mathbb{Z}(0, \pi, \pi, 0) + \mathbb{Z}(\pi, 0, \pi, 0) + \big(3\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus 3\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus 3\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus \{0\}\big).$$

The generators of this module are of the form $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, 0)$ or $(-\varepsilon_1, -\varepsilon_2, -\varepsilon_3, 0)$ with $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$, where ε_3 is uniquely determined by $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. Again, each of these is equal to $\pm\overline{u}^i\overline{v}^j(1, 1, 1, 0)$, that is $(g^{\pm 1})^{u^i v^j}$, for suitable $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Finally, let $g = (1, 1, 1, 1)$. Then the $\mathbb{Z}\langle\overline{u}, \overline{v}\rangle$-module generated by g is equal to

$$\mathbb{Z}(1, 1, 1, 1) + \mathbb{Z}(0, \pi, \pi, \pi) + \mathbb{Z}(\pi, 0, \pi, -\pi) + \big(3\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus 3\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus 3\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus 3\mathbb{Z}[\omega]\big).$$

The generators of this module are of the form $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ or $(-\varepsilon_1, -\varepsilon_2, -\varepsilon_3, -\varepsilon_4)$ with $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4 \in \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$, where $(\varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_4)$ is uniquely determined by $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$. Again, each of these is equal to $\pm\overline{u}^i\overline{v}^j(1, 1, 1, 1)$, that is $(g^{\pm 1})^{u^i v^j}$, for suitable $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Case 2: $g \notin B$. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$ acts transitively on the non-trivial cosets of B, we may assume without loss of generality that $g \in uB$, that is $g = u.(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ with $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where we suppress e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 from the notation as before. Suppose that $h \in H$ has the same normal closure in H as g. Then $\langle h\rangle B = \langle g\rangle B = \langle u\rangle B$ shows that, replacing h by h^{-1} if necessary, we may suppose that $h = u.(\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta')$ for $\alpha', \beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$.

First consider the quotient $Q = H/(M_0 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2)$. We denote the image of $z \in H$ in Q by $\tilde{z}$. Observe that $\tilde{v}$ has order 3 and that $Q = \langle \tilde{v} \rangle \ltimes \langle \tilde{u}, [\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] \rangle$ is naturally isomorphic to $\langle w \rangle \ltimes \pi^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where w has order 3 and acts via multiplication by ω on the additive group $\pi^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, by virtue of $\tilde{v} \mapsto w$ and $\tilde{u} \mapsto \pi^{-1}$. Note that the normal closure of $\tilde{g}$ corresponds to $(\pi^{-1} + \alpha)\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. Likewise the normal closure of $\tilde{h}$ corresponds to $(\pi^{-1} + \alpha')\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$. This implies that

$$\pi^{-1} + \alpha' = \varepsilon\big(\pi^{-1} + \alpha\big) \qquad \text{for a suitable } \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\omega^2\}.$$

Since $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, we deduce that $\varepsilon \in \{1, \omega, \omega^2\}$ so that there are at most three possible values for α', depending on α. Conjugating h by v^j for a suitable $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we may thus assume that $\alpha' = \alpha$.

Conjugating g by $b = (0, \lambda, \mu, \nu) \in B$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g^b = g(b^{-1})^g b = u.\big((\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) + \overline{u}(0, -\lambda, -\mu, -\nu) + (0, \lambda, \mu, \nu)\big)) \\ = u.(\alpha, \beta - \pi\lambda, \gamma - \pi\mu, \delta - \pi\nu). \end{aligned}$$

We may therefore assume that $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and, likewise, $\beta', \gamma', \delta' \in \{0, 1, 2\}$.

For a contradiction, suppose that $(\beta, \gamma, \delta) \neq (\beta', \gamma', \delta')$. Then the normal closure of g in H would contain

$$g = u.(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \qquad \text{and} \qquad h^{-1}g = (0, \beta - \beta', \gamma - \gamma', \delta - \delta').$$

But this would imply that $\langle g \rangle^H [H, H]/[H, H]$ was not cyclic, a contradiction. □

Proposition 3.3. *The direct product $G \times H$ of the crystallographic groups G and H defined in* (3.1) *and* (3.2) *does not have the Magnus property.*

Proof. We consider $g = x \in G$ and $h = e_\infty = (1, 0, 0, 0) \in B \leq H$. Since g has order 4 modulo $[G, G]$, we see that $g \not\equiv g^{-1}$ modulo $[G, G]$. Consequently, g and g^{-1} are not conjugate in G. Similarly, h generates a cyclic group of order 3 modulo $[H, H]$, and thus h and h^{-1} are not conjugate in H.

Observe that

$$M = \langle [g, g'] \mid g' \in G \rangle^G = [G, G]$$

is normal and of index 4 in $\langle g \rangle^G$. Suppressing the e_i as before, we see that

$$N = \langle [h, h'] \mid h' \in H \rangle^H = \pi\mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}$$

has index 3 in $\langle h \rangle^H = \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \{0\}$.

This implies that each of the groups $\langle (g, h) \rangle^{G \times H}$ and $\langle (g, h^{-1}) \rangle^{G \times H}$ contains $M \times N$ and, modulo $M \times N$, forms a subdirect product of

$$(\langle g \rangle^G \times \langle h \rangle^H)/(M \times N) \cong (\langle g \rangle^G / M) \times (\langle h \rangle^H / N) \cong C_4 \times C_3.$$

We deduce that

$$\langle (g, h) \rangle^{G \times H} = \langle g \rangle^G \times \langle h \rangle^H = \langle (g, h^{-1}) \rangle^{G \times H}.$$

According to Lemma 2.3, the group $G \times H$ does not have the Magnus property. □

Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 clearly imply Proposition 1.3 in the introduction.

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Steffen Kionke for drawing our attention to the work of Hiller and Sah [6].

References

[1] O. Bogopolski, *A surface groups analogue of a theorem of Magnus*, in: Geometric methods in group theory, 59–69, Contemp. Math., **372**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[2] O. Bogopolski and K. Sviridov, *A Magnus theorem for some one-relator groups*, in: The Zieschang Gedenkschrift, 63–73, Geom. Topol. Monogr., **14**, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2008.

[3] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of representation theory, Vol. I, With applications to finite groups and orders, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981.

[4] C. Feldkamp, *Magnus property for the fundamental group of a closed nonorientable surface of genus* 3, preprint.

[5] N. Gupta and S. Sidki, *On torsion-free metabelian groups with commutator quotients of prime exponent*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **9** (1999), 493–520.

[6] H. Hiller and C.-H. Sah, *Holonomy of flat manifolds with* $b_1 = 0$, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **37** (1986), 177–187.

[7] W. Magnus, *Über diskontinuierliche Gruppen mit einer definierenden Relation. Der Freiheitssatz*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **163** (1930), 141–165.

Mathematisches Institut, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

E-mail address: klopsch@math.uni-duesseldorf.de

Mathematisches Institut, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

E-mail address: Benno.Kuckuck@uni-duesseldorf.de